| | ASSESSMENT OF COMPLIANCE | |
|--|--|--|
| ✓ = Compliant | ACCESSIMENT OF COMM EIGHTOE | |
| = Non-compliant | INITIAL TERM | EXTENSION PERIOD |
| NR = Not Required at this Time | YEAR 1 YEAR 2 YEAR 3 YEAR 4 YEAR 5 | YEAR 6 YEAR 7 |
| NYE = Not Yet Evaluated | TERCY TERCY TERCY | |
| NTE - NOT TELEVALUATED | 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 3 |
| IANAGEMENT AND SUPERVISORY MEASURES TO PROMOTE CITIES INTEGRITY | | |
| . TEAMS II [Computer Information System] | | |
| 40 Access by Police Commission, Inspector General & Chief of F | Police | DW 🗸 |
| 41 Information to be Contained in TEAMS II (Design Assessment | | |
| a) Use of Force Reporting | | DW 🗸 |
| b) Canine Bites | | ─ |
| c) Officer-Involved Shootings | | ✓ |
| d) Other Lethal Uses of Force | | √ |
| e) Injuries and Deaths Reviewed by Use of Force Review Boa | ard | √ |
| f) Vehicle Pursuits and Traffic Collisions | | DW 🗸 |
| g) Complaint Form 1.28 Investigations | | DW 🗸 |
| h) Result of Adjudication of Investigations | | ✓ |
| I) Written Complaints | | ✓ |
| j) Commendations and Awards | | DW 🗸 |
| k) Criminal Arrests and Investigations Against LAPD Employee | es | ✓ |
| Claims and Lawsuits Against the City | | DW 🗸 |
| m) Civil Lawsuits Against LAPD Officers | | DW 🗸 |
| n) Arrest Reports, Crime Reports, and Citations | | DW 🗸 |
| o) Personnel Information from Evaluations | | ✓ |
| p) Training History and Weapons Qualifications | | DW 🗸 |
| q) Management and Supervisory Actions | | ✓ |
| 42 Input of Historical Data into TEAMS II | | ✓ |
| 43 Data Analysis Capabilities | | <u> </u> |
| 44 Linking and Cross-Referencing of Data | | √ |
| 45 Approved Design Document | X DW DW ✓ | |
| 46 Implementation of Protocol for Using TEAMS II (Incl. for Supervision & Audit Purposes) a) Supervisor Review of TEAMS II Data | | X |
| b) Intensive Review of Officer's Performance | | |
| c) LAPD Managers Review of TEAMS II Data | | X X |
| d) Guidelines for Reviews | | ^ |
| e) Guidelines for Managerial Actions | | |
| f) TEAMS II Used to Determine When an Audit is Necessary | | |
| g) TEAMS II Used as Tool for Selecting Officers | | |
| h) Actions Taken Result from Relevant and Appropriate Inform | nation | |
| TEAMS II Implementation included in Annual Personnel Performance Evaluations | | , |
| j) Access for Police Officers | | |
| k) Review and Comparisons for At-Risk Behavior | | 1 |
| I) Routine and Timely Documentation of Actions Taken | | X |
| m) Review of Transferred Officer | | " |
| 47 Protocol Requirements | | |
| 48 Training (re: Use of TEAMS II and Protocol Implementation) | | 1 |
| 49 Data Capture & Retention | | DW 🗸 |

| PO | RT | OF | THE INDEPENDENT MONITOR FOR THE LOS ANGELES POL | ICE DEPARTM | IENT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--------|------|---|---------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|--------|----------|----------|----|----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|----|----|----------|-----|----------|---------|-------|-------------|---|
| | | | " Summarizing the Monitor's Evaluation of Compliance sent Decree over the Life of the Consent Decree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | .116 (| COII | Sent Decree over the Life of the Consent Decree | | | | | | | | | AS | SESSI | MENT O | F COMP | PLIANC | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ✓ | = Compliant | | | | | | | | | | 0200. | | | LIXITO | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | X | = Non-compliant | | | | | | I | NITIAL | TERM | | | | | | | | | | E | XTENS | SION P | ERIOD | | |
| | | NR | = Not Required at this Time | YEAR | 1 | | YEAR | ₹ 2 | | YE/ | NR 3 | | Υ | 'EAR 4 | | Υ | EAR 5 | | | YEA | R 6 | | YE | AR 7 | YE | Α |
| | - 1 | NYE | = Not Yet Evaluated | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Т |
| | | | c) Beta of TEAMS II Available 12 Months after Approval of | 01 02 0 | 3 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 0 | 8 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 1 | 14 15 | 16 | 17 1 | 8 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 2 | 5 26 | 27 | 28 29 | |
| | | | Design d) Computer Program and Hardware Operational for Beta of | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | TEAMS II e) TEAMS II Fully Implemented 21 Months after Approval of | | | | | | | | | | | | | NR | | | | | | • | | | | |
| | | | Design | | | | | | | | | | | | | NK NYE | | | | | | √ | | | | |
| | 5 | 51 | Use of TEAMS I Data for Decision Making | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Selection of Officers for Assignment to CIID or as PSB Investigators | | | | DW | | | 1 | | | • | 1 | | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | b)Selection of Officers as FTOs or for Gang Units | | | | DW | | | X | | X | | | | ✓ , | | | 1 | | | / | | | | |
| | | | c) Officers Transferred into New Divisions or Areas | | | | DW | | | X | | X | , | K | | , | (| | X | | | | | | | |
| | | | d) Document Consideration of Sustained Administrative | | | | DW | | | 1 | | X | | | | ✓ , | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | Investigations, Adverse Judicial Findings or Discipline TEAMS II Modifications Process | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Е | | | agement and Coordination of Risk Assessment Responsibilities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Human Resources Bureau Responsibilities re: Risk Assessments | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | V | | | ✓ | |
| | | | Appual Porformance Evaluation System Developed & Implemented | | | | ND | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND | | ~ | | | DW V | |
| | | | Annual Performance Evaluation System Developed & Implemented | | | | NR | | | | | | | | | N | IR | | | NR | | X | | | DW X | |
| F | REVI | IEW | TS, PROCEDURES, DOCUMENTATION, INVESTIGATION, AND | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A | | | of Force | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | OHB Conduct all Categorical Use of Force Admin. Investigations | | | ✓ | | ✓ | | | 4 | • | / | | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | OHB Attend / Investigate all Categorical Use of Force Incidents | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | LAPD Notify DA of Chapting Insident or Poeth in Relias Custody | | | - | | √ | | | <u> </u> | | , | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | LAPD Notify DA of Shooting Incident or Death in Police Custody LAPD Cooperate with DA at Scene of Incident | | - | | 1 | • | | • | | • | / | | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Individual Attorneys for Officers Involved in OIS Incidents | | - | | ✓ | • | | - ✓ | | • | - | | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Separate Statements of Officers Involved in OIS | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | a-i) Supervisory Oversight for CUOF Incidents (7 Day | | | • | | • | - | | • | • | v | | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Requirement) a-ii) Supervisory Conduct for CUOF Incidents Considered for | | | X | X | • | | X | | • | X | | X | | | X | X | X | | X | X | | X | |
| | | | Performance Appraisal | | | | | | | | | | | | DW | | X | X | | √ | | | | | | |
| | ť | 62 | b-i) Supervisory Oversight for Search Warrants (7 Day Requirement) | | | X | | À | (| X | | X | , | K | | , | (| | | X | | X | | X | X | |
| | | | b-ii) Supervisory Conduct for Search Warrants Considered for Performance Appraisal | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | X | | |
| | 6 | 63 | Confidential Psychological Evaluation for Officers in Deadly Categorical UOF Incident | | X | | 1 | , | (| X | | | X | | X | | | | X | X | X | X | • | | X | |
| | 6 | 64 | a) Officer History Considered for Non-Disciplinary Action | | | V | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | X | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | , | | 1 | |
| | | | in CUOF | | | ^ | | | | | | ` | _ | | | | | _ | ** | _ | | _ | | | | |
| | , | C.F. | b) Officer History Considered for Disciplinary Action in CUOF Requirement to Report Non-Categorical Uses of Force | | | • | | √ | , 1 | | ✓ | • | ✓ | | X | | • | | X | | | | | | √ | |
| | | 66 | UOF Report Revised | | X | | <i>X</i> | • | | - | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 67 | Commission Review Categorical UOF | | V | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Non-Categorical Use of Force Investigations | | | 4 | 1 | ▼ | / | | • | | | | ^ | 1 | • | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | a) Review of Categorical UOF by Review Board | | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | / | | 1 | • | / | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | b) Non-Categorical UOF Review | | | | X | ,) | (| J | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| E | 3. 5 | Sear | ch and Arrest Procedures | | | | | _ | • | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| į | | | a) Review & Approval of Booking Recommendations/Arrests | | | | X | | | | | | | 1 | | | / | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | by WC for Completeness and Authenticity | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | b) Evaluation of Penal Code 148 et al. Incidents | | | X | | DW | | | | | , | K | | • | 7 | | | - | | | | | | |
| | | | c) Supervisor's Performance Evaluations Consider Quality of Supervisory Review Supervisory Review of Search Warrants and Ramey Warrants | | | | NYE | | | NR | | | N | NR . | | N | YE X | | | | | | | | | |

REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT MONITOR FOR THE LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT "Report Card" Summarizing the Monitor's Evaluation of Compliance With the Consent Decree over the Life of the Consent Decree ASSESSMENT OF COMPLIANCE = Compliant X = Non-compliant INITIAL TERM EXTENSION PERIOD NR = Not Required at this Time YEAR 1 YEAR 2 YEAR 3 YEAR 4 YEAR 5 YEAR 6 YEAR 7 YEAR 8 NYE = Not Yet Evaluated 02 03 05 06 09 11 13 16 18 19 22 23 26 27 29 30 a) Review for Completeness and Authenticity b) Appropriate, Legal and in Conformance with Policy c) Supervisory Review X X X X X 72 Search Warrant Log 73 WC Inspection & Interview of Detainees & Arrestees C. Initiation of Complaints 74 Receipt/Maintenance of Complaints DW X X a. Methods of Making Complaints b. Anonymous Complaints c. LAPD HQ or stations, PC, IG d. Distribution of Materials (locations and laquages) e. Distribution of Material Upon Request f. Assignment of Case Number g. 24-hour Toll-free Complaint Hotline h. Prohibition on requesting complainant to sign waiver 75 Initiation of Complaint Form 1.28 DW DW 76 Civil Lawsuits Alleging Misconduct of LAPD 7 77 Arrest/Litigation Involving Officer 78 Requirement to Report Officer Misconduct DW D. Conduct of Investigations 79 IAG Review of Complaints "Face Sheet" X 1 X X X 80i CUOF Investigations a) Tape-Record / Videotape Interviews 1 1 1 b) Canvassing and Interviewing of Witnesses / Complainants c) Group Interviews Prohibited d) Not applicable e) Interview All Supervisors 1 X f) Collect and Preserve Evidence g) Identify and Report All Inconsistencies 80ii IAG/PSB Investigations of Complaints a) Tape-Record / Videotape Interviews J X X DW b) Canvassing and Interviewing of Witnesses / Complainants c) Group Interviews Prohibited d) Notify Involved Officers & their Supervisors e) Interview All Supervisors f) Collect and Preserve Evidence g) Identify and Report All Inconsistencies 81i Non-Categorical Uses of Force Investigations DW a) Group Interviews Prohibited X b) Interview All Supervisors c) Collect and Preserve Evidence X 81ii Chain of Command Investigations of Complaints DW a) Group Interviews Prohibited X b) Interview All Supervisors c) Collect and Preserve Evidence X 82 Collateral Misconduct Investigations DW TEAMS II Access NR NR NR

REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT MONITOR FOR THE LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT "Report Card" Summarizing the Monitor's Evaluation of Compliance With the Consent Decree over the Life of the Consent Decree ASSESSMENT OF COMPLIANCE = Compliant = Non-compliant **INITIAL TERM** EXTENSION PERIOD NR = Not Required at this Time YEAR 1 YEAR 2 YEAR 3 YEAR 4 YEAR 5 YEAR 6 YEAR 7 YEAR 8 NYE = Not Yet Evaluated 16 17 05 06 07 09 10 11 14 | 15 18 19 22 24 25 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 E. Adjudicating Investigations 84 Standards for Credibility Determinations X X 85 Adjudication of Complaint Form 1.28 Investigations a) Adjudication Based on a Preponderance of the Evidence X b) Adjudication of All Allegations Prior to Complaint Closure 86 Withdrawal/Anonymous Complaint Investigations DW 87 Timely Complaint Investigations F. Discipline & Non-Disciplinary Action 88 Chief's Discipline Report to Commission and IG 89 a) IG Review Discipline Report DW b) Commission Review/Assess Discipline Report DW c) PC Assessment Considered Part of COP Annual Review NYE DW DW DW 90 Manager Review Complaint Form 1.28 Investigations 91 Complaint Resolution Notification X X X X 92 Anti-Retaliation Policy DW) DW DW G. Professional Standards Bureau (Internal Affairs Group) 93 Complaint Investigations 94 Reallocate Investigations from Chain-of-Command Supervisors DW 95 Filling Investigator Positions 96 Chief Misconduct Complaints DW DW 97 Scheduled Integrity/Sting Audits 98 Hiring of IAG Investigators/Supervisors 99 IAG Terms of Duty 100 IAG Evaluations 101 Referral of Criminal Conduct H. Non-Discrimination Policy and Motor Vehicle and Pedestrian Stops 102 Non-Discriminatory Policy and Assessment of Discrimination in X X X J DW DW DW DW DW DW DW DW DW Motor Vehicle & Pedestrian Stops 103 Use of Discrimination in Stops/Detention ✓ DW 104 Motor Vehicle Stop Reports DW DW DW DW 105 Pedestrian Stop Reports X X X X DW DW DW 🗸 X IV. MANAGEMENT OF GANG UNITS 106 a) Gang Coordination X X X b) Eligibility Criteria for Selection of Gang Non-Supervisory Officers NYE X c) Eligibility Criteria for Selection of Gang Supervisors NYE X X d) Tour of Duty Limitations for Gang Supervisors and Officers e) i) Detention, Transportation, Arrest, Booking and Charging of X DW X X X Gang Arrestees ii) Class A or C Uniforms for Gang Officers iii) Marked Police Vehicles for Gang Officers iv) Gang Officers Check Out and Return Field Equipment from Area Kit Room v) Gang Officers Attendance for Patrol Roll Calls vi) Gang Unit Activities Out of Area Station vii) Gang Arrestees/Witness Interviewed at Night at Primary 1 1 1 Area Station

f) Role of Gang Unit Supervisor g) Role of Gang Area Managers

June 11, 2009

| REPOR | T OF THE INDEPENDENT MONITOR FOR THE LOS ANGELES PO | LICE DI | EPARTM | ENT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|--------------------------|--------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|----------|----------|----------|-----|--------|----|----------|------|------|-----------------|-----|-------|--------|----------|--------|------|---------------|
| "Report | Card" Summarizing the Monitor's Evaluation of Compliance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| With the | Consent Decree over the Life of the Consent Decree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ASSESSMENT OF COMPLIANCE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ✓ = Compliant | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | X = Non-compliant | | | | | | | | INI | ITIAL 1 | ΓERM | | | | | | | | | | | EXT | ΓENSΙ | ON PER | RIOD | |
| | NR = Not Required at this Time | | YEAR 1 | | | YEA | R 2 | | | YEA | R 3 | | ١ | YEAR 4 | | | YEAR | ₹ 5 | | Y | EAR 6 | à | | YEA | R 7 | YEAR 8 |
| | NYE = Not Yet Evaluated | | | П | 1 | | | - | | | П | | П | 11 | П | | | П | $\dashv \vdash$ | П | П | \top | 1 | П | | - |
| | | 01 | 02 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 2 | 20 2 | 1 2 | 2 23 | 3 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 2 | 28 29 30 |
| | h) Role of Bureau Gang Coordinator | | | | X | | X | | X | | | X | • | √ X | | | | | | | | | | DW | , | K |
| | 107 a) Eligibility Criteria for Work in Gang Units | | | | | | | | | √ | | X | X | | | √ | | | • | | | | | | | |
| | b) Selection Process for Gang Unit Personnel | | | NYE | X | | X | | | X | | X | X | | | X | | | , | (| | X | | | , | (|
| | c) Supervisory Review of Incidents & Impact on Eligibility to Remain in Gang Unit | | | | | | | | | DW | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| v. co | NFIDENTIAL INFORMANTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 108 Procedures for the Handling of Informants | | | Х | | X | | X | | | 1 | | X | | | X | | | , | (| | | | | | 1 |
| | 109 Confidential Informants Database | | | X | | 1 | | 1 | | | X | | X | | | X | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 110 Confidential Informant Manual | | | X | | X | | X | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , DE | VELOPMENT OF PROGRAM FOR RESPONDING TO PERSONS WITH | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | NTAL ILLNESS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 111 Evaluation of Other Successful Programs | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 112 a) Report to Police Commission on Police Contact with Mentally III | | | | DW | DW | DW | DW | X | | X | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | b) Report to City Council and Mayor on Police Contact with | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Mentally III 113 Audit of Police Contact with Mentally III | | | | | | | | | | | No. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | · | | | | | NR | | | | | X | X | X | | | CWI | | | | | | | | | | |
| VII. TR | AINING Field Training Officers Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A. | 114 Eligibility Criteria for FTO | | | | X | | | | | | D14/ | | X | | | DW | 1 | | | J | | | | | | |
| | 115 FTO De-selection | | | NYE | | NIVE | DW | DW | V | | DW | | DW | V | | DW | • | | | • | | w 🗸 | | _ | | 1 |
| | 116 FTO Training Plan | | | NYE | X | NYE | DVV | DVV | X | | | | | X | | v | | • | , | | DV | | , / | | | , |
| R | Training Content | | | | | | | | _ | | <u> </u> | | DW | | | X | | ļ | • | | | DW | <i>I</i> | | | |
| D . | 117 Police Integrity Training Requirements | _ | | | X | X | | | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | 118 Public Members on Board of Rights | | | | ~ | ^ | | | DW | | DW | V | N | IYE | | V | | • | D | w | -1 | 1 | | | -/ | |
| | 119 Tuition Reimbursement | | _ | , | ^ | | 1 | | DVV | | DVV | ^ | IV | 116 | | ^ | | | U | VV | • | | | | | |
| | 120 Communication of Training Suggestions | | | | | 1 | • | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| C. | Supervisory Training | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 121 Supervisory Training Requirements | | ¥ | X | | | X | | DW | J | | | X | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 122 Regular and Periodic Supervisory Training | | ^ | | X | | ^ | | 1 | | | | 7 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 123 Supervisory Investigations Training | | | | X | | | | X | | | 1 | · | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| VIII. INT | EGRITY AUDITS | | | | ,,, | | | | ,,, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Α. | Audit Plan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 124 Audit Plan & Responsibilities | | | | X | | Х | | X | | | | X | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| В. | Audits by the LAPD | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 125 a) Warrant Applications & Affidavits Audit | NYE | Y | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | b) Arrest, Booking & Charging Reports Audit | NYE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | c) Confidential Informant Control Packages Audit | NYE | * * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | d) Gang Unit Work Product Audit | NYE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 126 Use of Force Reports Audit | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 127 Sting Audits Reporting Protocol | | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 128 1) Warrant Applications & Affidavits Audit | | | X | 1 | | | | X | X | NY | √ | | | 1 | | | X | | | X | | | | • | / |
| | 2) Arrest, Booking & Charging Reports Audit | | X | | | 1 | DW | | | | NY E | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | 1 |
| | 3) Use of Force Reports Audit | | | X | X | X | X | X | NYE | X | DW | √ | | | DW | 1 | | | | / / | 7 | | 1 | | | 1 |
| | 4) Motor Vehicle & Pedestrian Stops Audit | | | X | X | X | X | X | NYE | 1 | | | NYE | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | |
| | 5) Confidential Informant Control Packages Audit | | | X | | X | | NYE | 1 | | | | ✓ | | | X | | | • | / | | | | 1 | | 1 |
| | 129 i) Categorical Use of Force Systems Audit | | | NYE | NYE | NYE | | X | NYE | X | | | 1 | | 1 | | | • | / | | | 1 | | | 4 | / |
| | i) Categorical Use of Force Investigations Audit | | | | | | | | | X | | | NYE | ✓ | | NYE | DW | | • | | | | 1 | | | DW 🗸 |

REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT MONITOR FOR THE LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT "Report Card" Summarizing the Monitor's Evaluation of Compliance With the Consent Decree over the Life of the Consent Decree ASSESSMENT OF COMPLIANCE = Compliant = Non-compliant **INITIAL TERM** EXTENSION PERIOD NR = Not Required at this Time YEAR 1 YEAR 2 YEAR 3 YEAR 4 YEAR 5 YEAR 6 YEAR 7 YEAR 8 NYE = Not Yet Evaluated 09 | 10 | 11 16 17 01 02 03 05 06 | 07 | 08 12 13 14 15 18 19 ii) Non-Categorical Use of Force Investigations Audit DW iii) Complaint Form 1.28 Systems Audit X $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}$ X iii) Complaint Form 1.28 Investigations Audit 130 Annual Report on Complaints & Disposition 131 a) Gang Unit Work Product Audit DW DW DW X X XX NYE X DW 🗸 NYE X b) Gang Unit Selection Criteria Compliance Audit X NYE X DW c-1) Gang Unit Warrant Applications & Affidavits Audit NYE 🗸 $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}$ NYE 🗸 c-2) Gang Unit Arrest, Booking & Charging Reports Audits DW NYE X c-3) Gang Unit Use of Force Reports Audit X NYE X NYE DW c-4) Gang Unit Motor Vehicle & Pedestrian Stops Audit X X NYE NYE c-5) Gang Unit Informant Control Packages Audit X X NYE X d) Gang Unit Use of Confidential Informants Audit NYE NYE X e) Audit of Gang Unit Supervisors JXJ NYE 🗸 f) Gang Unit Assessing Supervisory Review of Incidents X X g) Gang Unit Conclusions/Recommendations 132 Financial Disclosure Requirements & Audits NR NR NR NR NR **TBD** NR 133 Police Training Audit DW DW NR X NR DW X 134 Skeletal Fractures During UOF Audit CWI C. Inspector General Reviews & Audits 135 a) Timeliness of Transmittal of LAPD Audits to OIG b) Evaluation of IG's Reviews of LAPD's Audits X DW X X X X X X X X X136 IG Review of Categorical UOF Investigations i) IG Audit of Non-Categorical Uses of Force ii) IG Audit of Complaint Form 1.28 Investigations X X $X \quad X \quad X$ NYE X X X NYE 🗸 137 IG Audit of LAPD's Use of TEAMS II Protocol (as per CD47) Phase NR NR NR NR NR NR 🗸 IG Audit of LAPD's Use of TEAMS II Protocol (as per CD47) Phase 138a IG to Use TEAMS II to Conduct Audits and Review LAPD Audits for NR NR DW 🚽 At Risk Behavior, Practices or Procedures 1 for individuals 138b IG to Use TEAMS II to Conduct Audits and Review LAPD Audits for At Risk Behavior, Practices or Procedures 1 for units 139 Recording, Tracking & Investigation of Retaliation Complaints 140 Audits Initiated by the Police Commission and the IG NR X IX. OPERATIONS OF THE POLICE COMMISSION & INSPECTOR GENERAL A. Police Commission 141 Obligations of Commission/IG/Chief TBD TBD 142 a) Commission/IG Review of All Categorical UOF DW b) Annual CUOF Report Detailing Commission's Findings DW NYE 143 a) Police Commission Review of Audits X = XX X DW J b) Consider Audit Results in Evaluation of COP DW NYE DW c) Review and Approval of All New LAPD Policies & Procedures NYE X DW 144 Review of Chief DW 🗸 NYE DW DW 145 Chief Misconduct Complaints DW DW X DW X DW 🎻 146 Approval of LAPD Budget B. Inspector General

| REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT MONITOR FOR THE LOS ANGELES PO | LICE DEPARTMENT | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----|----------|----|--------|----------|------|--------------|-------|--------------|----------|--------|------------|---------|
| "Report Card" Summarizing the Monitor's Evaluation of Compliance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| With the Consent Decree over the Life of the Consent Decree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | ASSE | SSMENT OF CO | MPLIA | NCE | | | | |
| √ = Compliant | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ✗ = Non-compliant | | | | | INITIA | LTERM | | | | | | EXTENS | ION PERIOD | |
| NR = Not Required at this Time | YEAR 1 | | YEAR 2 | | YE | AR 3 | | YEAR 4 | | YEAR 5 | YEAR 6 | | YEAR 7 | YEAR 8 |
| NYE = Not Yet Evaluated | 01 02 03 04 | 05 | 06 07 | 08 | 09 10 | 11 12 | 13 | 14 15 16 | 17 | 18 19 20 | 21 22 23 | 24 25 | 26 27 2 | 8 29 30 |
| 147 a) Notification and Observation of CUOF "Roll-outs" | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | ✓ | , | | | | | |
| b) Notification to the PC of Non-Conformance | | DW | 1 | 1 | | √ | | ✓ | | | | | | |
| 148 UOF Review Board Meetings | | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | ✓ | • | | | | | |
| 149 Promptly Providing Documents & Information to IG | | | √ | | ✓ | √ | | ✓ | | | | | | |
| 150 a) IG Acceptance of Complaints from LAPD Officers | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | ✓ | • | | | | | |
| b) Disclosure of Complainant's Identity | | 1 | | | | √ | | 4 | • | | | | | |
| 151 Officer Obligations to Investigate | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 152 a) Complaint Intake Information Provided to IG within One Week of IAG's Receipt | | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | |
| b) Complaint Intake Information Consistent with LAPD Policies and Procedures | | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | |
| 153 Informing the Police Commission of Pending Investigations & Audits | | NYE | ✓ | | 1 | ✓ | | ✓ | • | | | | | |
| C. General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 154 Recommendations Implemented to Improve Deficiencies | NYE | X | X X | | X | | NYE | ✓ | | 1 | √ | | √ | ✓ |
| X. COMMUNITY OUTREACH AND PUBLIC INFORMATION | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 155 i) Public Meeting in First Year of CD | √ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ii) Public Meetings Annually | | | NR | 1 | | √ | | ✓ | | | | | | |
| 156 Website Reports | X | X | X 🗸 | DW | 1 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| 157 Meeting with Community Advisory Groups | √ | | 1 1 | 1 | √ X | | | | | | | | | NR |